UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 4.65-6.5-0082-AMO-0
Plaintiff, V. Sac, aka Ching Tien Defendant(s). Tsac	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $04/17/2025$, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $04/17/2025$ to $05/19/7025$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §	
Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	s continuance on the following factor s APK 17 2025 ely to result in a miscarriage of NashERK, U.S. DISTRICT COULD OAKLAND OFFICE
The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).	
Failure to grant a continuance would deny t taking into account the exercise of due dilig	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unreas necessary for effective preparation, taking i See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 4/17/25	DONNA M. RYU Chief Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Long for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
	Renzemb K. Kleinman v. 1/10/2019